Judge's Courtesy Copy E-Filing Transaction ID 20642491 2 3 4 SEP 19 2025 5 Clerk of the Superior Court 6 By: K. Sorianosos, Deputy 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO** 10 KEITH CALDWELL, et al., 11 CASE NO. 37-2023-00012108-CU-BT-CTL individually and on behalf of all others 12 similarly situated, **CLASS ACTION** 13 Plaintiffs, **FROPOSED** FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT 14 VS. 15 [Includes Order Granting Motion for IDENTITY INTELLIGENCE GROUP, LLC, Attorneys' Fees, Litigation Expenses, and 16 a Nevada limited liability company; and Service Awards] DOES 1-50, inclusive, 17 [IMAGED FILE] Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

Pending before the Court are two motions relating to the settlement agreement ("Settlement") between plaintiffs Keith Caldwell and Asya Hunter ("Plaintiffs") and defendant Identity Intelligence Group, LLC ("Defendant"): (1) Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement; and (2) Class Counsel's Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees, Litigation Expenses, and Class Representative Service Awards.

WHEREAS, on May 9, 2025, this Court entered an order granting Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement (ROA# 141);

WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties appeared before this Court on September 19, 2025, at which time Plaintiffs requested final approval of the Settlement and Class Counsel requested an award of attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, and service awards; and

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice of the Settlement having been given, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. All terms and phrases in this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement (ROA# 137 Ex. 1), unless otherwise noted.
- 2. The Court finds and determines that the notice procedure implemented in this Action provides for the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and that such notice procedure satisfies the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 3.769, and due process.
- 3. The Court finds and determines that no Class Member has objected to any aspect of the Settlement.
- 4. The Court finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court further finds and determines that settlement of the Action at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. The Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and directs the Parties to effectuate and consummate the Settlement's terms as set forth in the Agreement and this Order.

5. The Class is defined as follows:

All California residents who were both (1) enrolled in an IdentityIQ membership between March 30, 2011 and August 20, 2023, inclusive, and (2) charged one or more renewal fees for that membership on or after March 22, 2019. Excluded from the Class are all employees of Defendant, all employees of Plaintiffs' counsel, and the judicial officers to whom this case is assigned.

- 6. The Court finds that one individual, Patrice Williams, requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class. Accordingly, Patrice Williams is excluded from the Class and shall not be bound by the Settlement Agreement or Release.
- 7. Pursuant to Section IV.A of the Settlement Agreement, Defendant shall transmit the remaining \$8,269,854 of the Settlement Amount to the Settlement Administrator by wire transfer no later than December 15, 2025 (the "Funding Date").
- 8. The Court grants Class Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$3,332,544.52, which the Court finds justified under the common fund doctrine and with a lodestar/multiplier cross-check. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Administrator shall pay the fee award to Class Counsel from the Settlement Amount, half within 7 days after the Funding Date and half 30 days thereafter. The fee award is warranted in light of the substantial time Class Counsel devoted to the Action, the risk Class Counsel undertook in prosecuting the Action on a contingency basis, the novelty of the legal issues, the skill with which Class Counsel presented Plaintiffs' claims in both the Superior Court and the appellate court while facing vigorous opposition by experienced counsel, and the results achieved for the Class.
- 9. The Court grants Class Counsel's motion for reimbursement of litigation expenses in the amount of \$105,305.99, which the Court finds were reasonably incurred in the prosecution of the Action. The Settlement Administrator shall pay the expense amount to Class Counsel from the Settlement Amount within 7 days after the Funding Date.
- 10. The Court grants the request for service awards to the Class Representatives, Keith Caldwell and Asya Hunter, in the amount of \$10,000 each. The Court finds that these payments are justified in light of the time and effort devoted to the Action, the risk undertaken in serving as the named plaintiffs, and the recovery obtained on behalf of the Class. The Settlement Administrator shall pay these service awards from the Settlement Amount within 7 days after the Funding Date.

11

8

12

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

2324

25

2627

28

- 11. The Court finds that the fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator, CPT Group, Inc., in the amount of \$255,000, are fair and reasonable. Such payment is authorized to be made from the Settlement Amount after the Funding Date.
- 12. Following the Funding Date, to the extent the Settlement Administrator did not previously receive a Participating Class Member's election regarding a method for settlement payment, the Settlement Administrator shall send at least two emails to Participating Class Members requesting such election. Participating Class Members for whom the Settlement Administrator does not have an email address shall be sent a postcard notice. Participating Class Members must select a payment method by the date that is 60 days after the Funding Date. Thereafter, the Settlement Administrator shall distribute the Net Settlement Amount on an equal, pro-rata basis to those Participating Class Members who timely selected a payment method. Settlement checks or payments not negotiated or completed within 60 days after mailing or transmittal by the Settlement Administrator will be void. Any portion of the Net Settlement Amount that remains unpaid at the end of 90 days following the date on which the Settlement Administrator commenced the initial distribution will be paid out in a second distribution, unless the Settlement Administrator, in conjunction with Class Counsel, concludes that a second distribution would not be economical. In any such second distribution, each Participating Class Member who negotiated or completed their payment in the initial distribution will be entitled to receive an equal, pro-rata portion of the remaining and unpaid Net Settlement Amount.
- 13. The Court approves as *cy pres* recipients Consumer Federation of California and San Francisco Consumer Action, each to receive 50% of any residual settlement funds. Any portion of the Net Settlement Amount that remains unpaid 60 days after a second distribution, or 135 days after the initial distribution if there is no second distribution, shall be paid to the *cy pres* recipients.
- 14. Other than as stated above in Paragraph 6, Plaintiffs and all Class Members are bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including its Release, and this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Pursuant to Section IX of the Settlement Agreement, immediately upon full funding of the Settlement by Defendant, all of the claims to be released by Plaintiffs and Class Members shall be released.

15. To provide notice to the Class pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.771(b), the Parties are ordered to cause a copy of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to be posted by the Settlement Administrator on the Settlement Website.

16. This Final Approval Order and Judgment constitutes a final judgment that is binding on the Parties and the Class Members. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(h), this Court retains continuing jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, the Class Representatives, the Class Members, and Defendant to implement and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

17. The Clerk is directed to promptly enter this Order and Judgment on the register of

Hon. Matthew C. Braner
Judge of the Superior Court